Comparison of diffusion kurtosis imaging and multi-tissue CSD for the investigation of group differences in Alzheimer's disease

Diana L. Giraldo^{1,2,3}, Robert E. Smith⁴, Hanne Struyfs⁵, Ellis Niemantsverdriet⁵, Ellen De Roeck⁵, Sebastiaan Engelborghs⁵, Eduardo Romero³, Jan Sijbers^{1,2}, Ben Jeurissen^{1,2,6}

¹imec-Vision Lab, University of Antwerp, ²µNEURO Research Center of Excellence, University of Antwerp, ³Cim@Lab, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, ⁴The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, ⁵BIODEM, University of Antwerp, ⁶Lab for Equilibrium Investigations and Aerospace, University of Antwerp.





MATERIALS & METHODS

DW-MRI acquisition

multi-slice, single-shot EPI, spin-echo imaging sequence $b = 0,700,1000,2800 \text{ s/mm}^2$ in 10, 25, 40, 75 directions.

Pre-processing

Denoising; Gibbs ringing, rigid motion, eddy current distortion, and bias field correction.

Study sample

29 patients with mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to AD 23 patients with dementia due to AD (ADD) 27 age-matched controls



RESULTS





CONCLUSIONS

• FA sensitivity is limited compared to fiber-specific measures and WM-like fraction. • Tissue-like fractions explain increased MD as increased free-water content or increased cellularity

DKI and MT-CSD detect a similar extent of group differences. However, MT-CSD offers more **specific and interpretable** information about the nature of those differences.

REFERENCES

[1] Jensen et al., Magn. Reson. Med., 53(6), 1432-1440, 2005. [2] Jeurissen et al., Neuroimage, 103, 411-426, 2014. [3] Winkler et al., Neuroimage, 98, 381–397, 2014 [4] Raffelt et al., Neuroimage, 144(A), 58-73, 2017. *Tools for data pre-processing, modelling, and statistical analysis are available as part of MRtrix3.



Contact: diana.giraldofranco @uantwerpen.be



